

THE BEST OF THE WEEK (02 mag – 08 mag 2022)

WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium

Remdesivir and three other drugs for hospitalised patients with COVID-19: final results of the WHO Solidarity randomised trial and updated meta-analyses

The Lancet, May 2022; [doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00519-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00519-0)

Abstract

Background

The Solidarity trial among COVID-19 inpatients has previously reported interim mortality analyses for four repurposed antiviral drugs. Lopinavir, hydroxychloroquine, and interferon (IFN)- β 1a were discontinued for futility but randomisation to remdesivir continued. Here, we report the final results of Solidarity and meta-analyses of mortality in all relevant trials to date.

Methods

Solidarity enrolled consenting adults (aged ≥ 18 years) recently hospitalised with, in the view of their doctor, definite COVID-19 and no contraindication to any of the study drugs, regardless of any other patient characteristics. Participants were randomly allocated, in equal proportions between the locally available options, to receive whichever of the four study drugs (lopinavir, hydroxychloroquine, IFN- β 1a, or remdesivir) were locally available at that time or no study drug (controls). All patients also received the local standard of care. No placebos were given. The protocol-specified primary endpoint was in-hospital mortality, subdivided by disease severity. Secondary endpoints were progression to ventilation if not already ventilated, and time-to-discharge from hospital. Final log-rank and Kaplan-Meier analyses are presented for remdesivir, and are appended for all four study drugs. Meta-analyses give weighted averages of the mortality findings in this and all other randomised trials of these drugs among hospital inpatients. Solidarity is registered with ISRCTN, ISRCTN83971151, and [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04315948), NCT04315948.

Findings

Between March 22, 2020, and Jan 29, 2021, 14 304 potentially eligible patients were recruited from 454 hospitals in 35 countries in all six WHO regions. After the exclusion of 83 (0.6%) patients with a refuted COVID-19 diagnosis or encrypted consent not entered into the database, Solidarity enrolled 14 221 patients, including 8275 randomly allocated (1:1) either to remdesivir (ten daily infusions, unless discharged earlier) or to its control (allocated no study drug although remdesivir was locally available). Compliance was high in both groups. Overall, 602 (14.5%) of 4146 patients assigned to remdesivir died versus 643 (15.6%) of 4129 assigned to control (mortality rate ratio [RR] 0.91 [95% CI 0.82–1.02], $p=0.12$). Of those already ventilated, 151 (42.1%) of 359 assigned to remdesivir died versus 134 (38.6%) of 347 assigned to control (RR 1.13 [0.89–1.42], $p=0.32$). Of those not ventilated but on oxygen, 14.6% assigned to remdesivir died versus 16.3% assigned to control (RR 0.87 [0.76–0.99], $p=0.03$). Of 1730 not on oxygen initially, 2.9% assigned to remdesivir died versus 3.8% assigned to control (RR 0.76 [0.46–1.28], $p=0.30$). Combining all those not ventilated initially, 11.9% assigned to remdesivir died versus 13.5% assigned to control (RR 0.86 [0.76–0.98], $p=0.02$) and

14·1% versus 15·7% progressed to ventilation (RR 0·88 [0·77–1·00], $p=0\cdot04$). The non-prespecified composite outcome of death or progression to ventilation occurred in 19·6% assigned to remdesivir versus 22·5% assigned to control (RR 0·84 [0·75–0·93], $p=0\cdot001$). Allocation to daily remdesivir infusions (vs open-label control) delayed discharge by about 1 day during the 10-day treatment period. A meta-analysis of mortality in all randomised trials of remdesivir versus no remdesivir yielded similar findings.

Interpretation

Remdesivir has no significant effect on patients with COVID-19 who are already being ventilated. Among other hospitalised patients, it has a small effect against death or progression to ventilation (or both).

C.L.F. Sun et al.

Increased emergency cardiovascular events among under-40 population in Israel during vaccine rollout and third COVID-19 wave

Nature Scientific Reports, April 2022; doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10928-z

Abstract

Cardiovascular adverse conditions are caused by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections and reported as side-effects of the COVID-19 vaccines. Enriching current vaccine safety surveillance systems with additional data sources may improve the understanding of COVID-19 vaccine safety. Using a unique dataset from Israel National Emergency Medical Services (EMS) from 2019 to 2021, the study aims to evaluate the association between the volume of cardiac arrest and acute coronary syndrome EMS calls in the 16–39-year-old population with potential factors including COVID-19 infection and vaccination rates. An increase of over 25% was detected in both call types during January–May 2021, compared with the years 2019–2020. Using Negative Binomial regression models, the weekly emergency call counts were significantly associated with the rates of 1st and 2nd vaccine doses administered to this age group but were not with COVID-19 infection rates. While not establishing causal relationships, the findings raise concerns regarding vaccine-induced undetected severe cardiovascular side-effects and underscore the already established causal relationship between vaccines and myocarditis, a frequent cause of unexpected cardiac arrest in young individuals. Surveillance of potential vaccine side-effects and COVID-19 outcomes should incorporate EMS and other health data to identify public health trends (e.g., increased in EMS calls), and promptly investigate potential underlying causes.